## Exhibit C

5:03PM



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Petent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

PINST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. MM21/0606 EXAMINER ECH - N KIRSCHOTEIN OTTINGER IBRAEL & SCHIFFMILLER P C
467 FIFTH AVENUE
NEW YORK NY 10017-6105 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 05/05/00 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



## UNITED STATE & DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tradamark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Wantington, D.C. 20231 Address:

R.G

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

DATE MAILEDI 05/19/00

0291XZB 09/323,948 06/09/99 DVORK18 P EXAMINER MM92/0519 KIRSCHSTEIN OYTINGER ISRAEL & SCHIFFMILLER P C 551 FIFTH AVENUE FRECH,K ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK NY 10176-0024 2876

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. 09/328,949	Applemental	t al	
Office Action Summary	Exeminar Kerl D. Presch		Group Art Unit 2876	
🛛 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/9/99, 6/30	0/99, 8/27/99, 9/13/99			
11 This action is FINAL				
T) Since this application is in condition for allowance exc in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle	cept for formal matters, e, 1935 C.D. 11; 463 (	prosecutio ).G. 213.	n es to the mer	its is closed
A shorrened statutory period for response to this action is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. F application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). E 37 CFR 1.136(a).	Failure to rescond within	the period	i for response v	vill cause the
Disposition of Claims				
Ø Cialm(s) <u>49-60</u>	والمحاود ووالمناوة الأحالية	is/era	pending in the a	polication.
Of the above, claim(s)		_ ls/are w	thdrawn from a	consideration.
Cialm(s)				
☑ Claim(s) 49-60				
L] Claim(s)			/ere objected to	<b>)</b> .
	are subject			
	riority under 36 U.S.C., pples of the priority doca (al Number)	§ 119(a)-(c uments hav asu (PCT R	e been ula 17.2(e)).	
Acknowledgement is made of a citim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C	:. § 119(a)	•	
Attachment(s)  C) Notice of References Cited, PTO-882  K) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pa  C) Interview Summary, PTO-413  K) Notice of Orattaperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-152		·		
SEE OFFICE ACTION	V ON THE FOLLOWING P.	4 <i>0e</i> s	•	

Serial Number: 09/328,948

Art Unit: 2876

Page 2

- 1. The preliminary amendments filed 6/9/99, 6/30/99, 8/27/99, and 9/13/99 have been canceled and claims charged into the application. By these amendments, claims 1-48 have been canceled and claims 49-60 have been added. The proposed drawing, Figure 13, filed 9/13/99 have been approved by the examiner.
- Upon review of the parent applications, it has been determined that the currently claimed invention had not been wholly disclosed prior to December 15, 1994, the filing date of the Sakai et al reference relied upon below.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4.. Claims 49-52,54 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-11 of U. S. Patent No. 5,859,417 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: all the currently claimed limitations can be found within the patent claims. The examiner

Serial Number: 09/328,948

Art Unit; 2876

Page 3

acknowledges that the patent claims do not specifically state "concave and convex" aplanar mirror surfaces. However, these are inherent features of the "cylindrical and toroidal" lenses of the patent claims. Also, it is acknowledged that the X and Y axis of the current claims are not specifically set forth in the patent claims. However, these too are inherent features of the overall apparatus of the patent claims. That is, these X and Y axis features must be present in the apparatus of the patent claims as they are defined by the patent specification. Therefore, it is in wording and semantics only which the current claims 49-52 and patent claims differ.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

5. Claims 53,55-60 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,859,417. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the current claim elements are found with the patent claims except for the laser diode of claim 53 and the first and second aplanar surfaces being convex and concave respectively as in claim 55.. However, laser diodes are old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a laser diode as the laser light source of the patent claims. This would provide a cheap and easily replaceable laser light into the system. Also, both convex and concave mirrors are old and well known. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill

NO.810

Serial Number: 09/328,948

Art Unit: 2876

Page 4

in the art at the time the invention was made to use a concave surface and a convex surface in the system of the patent claims. The concave and convex alternately shape the scanning light. Thus there would be a greater depth of field of the system of the patent claims.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(c) the invention was described in a parent granted on an application for parent by enother filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for parent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(e) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

- 7. Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sakai et al 5,572,008. Sakai discloses a fixed mirror 13 with two aplanar surfaces. These two aplanar surfaces are set on different ellipses focused around the same point, i.e. the two surfaces have different curvatures or profiles. All mirrors by their physical nature "fold" light. As there are no other elements claimed, a "folding mirror" must be taken as just that, a surface capable of "folding" light.
- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lindacher et al 5,179,271, Lindacher et al 5,484,990, Marom 5,475,208 and Meyers 4,766,298 all disclose multifaceted fold mirrors.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Frech whose telephone number is (703) 305-3491. The examiner can normally be reached workdays from 8:30 AM to 3:30 PM. Serial Number: 09/328,948

Art Unit: 2876

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald T. Hajec, can be reached on (703) 308-4075. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [knrl.frech@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazetts of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any fax transmission which may be intended as non-official for consideration by the examiner for interviews or other purposes should be clearly marked "DRAIT" and/or "COURTESY COPY" along with a statement to "DELIVER DIRECTLY TO EXAMINER". Such an un-official fax transmission must not be signed as it will not be entered into the application.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Karl Frech

Primary fixaminer, AU 2876

May 17, 2000

Form PTO 948 (Rev. 8-08)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - Paisni and Tridemork Office

Application No. 09/32897

## NOTICE OF DRAFTSPERSON'S PATENT DRAWING REVIEW

The drawing(s) filed (ingen date) 6/9/940;
The drawing(s) filed (incen date)
A. Capproved by the Drafteperson under 37 CHR 1.84 or 1.152.
B. DO objected to by the Draftsported pader 57 GPR 1.84 or 1.152 for the resigns fedicated below. The Examiner will require
submission of new, corrected drawings when nuccessity. Corrected drawing must be sumitted according to the instructions on the back of this newless

	41-1
1. DRAWINGS. 37 CPR 1.84(a): Acceptable categories of drawings: Black Int. Cotor.	A. ARRANGEMENT OF VIEWS. 37 CPR 1.84(1)  Words do not appear on a bootzon(at, left-to-right fusition
Culor drawings are not exceptable until pethon is granted.  Pig(a)	when puga is afther upright an invited to that the top becomes the right after except for graphs. Fig(s)
Pencil and non black ink not permitted. Fig(s)	9. SCALE 37 CFR 1,84(k)
2. PHOTOGRAPHS. 37 CFR (.84 (h)	Spale not large enough to show the chapters without
I full-tone set is required. Fig(s)	ornwilling when drawing is reduced in size in two thirds in
Phongraphs on properly mainted (must use beyon) board or	tenrodection.
photographic double-weight paper). (lig(s)	r Fig(s)
Pour quality (luit-tons), Fig(s)	10. CHARACTER OF LINES, NUMBERS, & LETTERS.
1. 7171E OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(n)	37 CPR 1.84(0
Poper not Clarible, strang, white, and dumble.	Linux, numbers & letters not sufformly thick and wall
(flu(s)	defined, eight, detable, and hinck (good line quality),
itrasijies, alkrations, overwritings, injerileestions,	F(g(a)
folds, copy machino marks not accepted. Pig(s)	II. SHAUING, 37 CHT 1.4(m)
Mylar, valuit paper is not accomable (not thin).	Solid black grown pole. Pig(s)
fiz(s)	Solld plack sheding has permitted. Fig(s)
SIZE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(I): Anceptable sizes:	Shade lines, pate, rough and blurted, Pig(s)
21:0 cm by 20.7 cm (D[N size A4)	12. NUMBERS, LETTHIS, & REFERENCE CHARACTERS.
21.6 cm by 27,9 cm) (8 1/2 x 11 (nchen)	37 CPR 1,84(p)
All drowing sheets find the same size.	Numbers and reference characters not plain and leadble.
Shoot(s)	Ma(a) reminers find refresches confractors for bigin son regime.
Drawings shouls not an acceptable size. Fig(s)	ligging logands and poor. ligg(a)
MARGINS, 97 CFR 1.84(g); Accountable margins;	Maintrets and reference characters and october in the
. 1	super direction as the view, 37 CFR 1.84(b)(1)
Top 2.5 cm Laft 2.5cm Right 1.5 cm Buttom 1.0 cm	Fla(s)
Sizis: A4 Size	English alphabet not used. 37 CFR (.84(p)(2)
Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5 cm Right 1.5 cm Bollom 1.0 cm	high
SIZE: 81/2×11	Numbers, letturn and reference characters that he at lengt
Margine not acceptable. Pig(s)	Chargers, temporal and temporale charge-stage managemental temporal (1/8 inch) to helphi, 37 CPR 1.84(n)(3)
Ten (T) [25] (L)	Fig(e)
Rigin (R) Bonton; (B)	13. LEAD LINES, 37 CFR 1.84(a)
. VIEWS, 37 CPR 134(h)	
REMINDER: Specification may require revision to	Lead lines evers each other. Fig(s)
correspond to diswing changes.	14. NUMBERING OF STITETS OF DRAWINGS. 37 CFR. 1.84(0)
Partial views. 37 CFR 1.94(IOC)	
Brackers needed to show lighte as one spring.	Shoots not symboled consecutively, and in Arabic comments
Fig(s)	beginning with number 1. Steel(s)
Views ren labeled separately or properly.	15. NUMBERING OF VIEWS, 37 CFR LB4(II)
Fig(x)	Vlove not membered concept) very, and in Arabic numerals,
Enlarged view not labeled separcially or properly.	beginning with number 1. Pig(n)
Fig(s)	16. CORRECTIONS, 37 CHR 1.84(w)
SECTIONAL VIEWS, 37 CFR LE4 (b)(3)	Corrections one made from pales PTD-948
Hamping not indicated for sectional particle of an object.	dajed
Fig(s)	17. DESIGN DRAWINGS, 37 CFR 1.152
Sectional designation should be noted with Acabic or	Sprizes shading shown not appropriate. Fig(s)
Rouse unimpair his(s)	Solid black shipling not used for color contract.
length minorit Life(s)	Fig.(s)
7/\14\7EN/00	
COMMENTS	
•	
	•

REVIEWER	CBK_	DATE 9/20/99 TELEPHONE N	o. XV 3305840E
ATTIA CIILLICANT	TO DARW NO	<del>-///</del>	

		Notice of References Cited			Application No. 09/328,946 Examinar Karl D. Pre	Applicantia	Dvarkis Group Art Unit 2876	<del></del>	age 1 of 1
-		······································			PATENT DOCUMENTS		*****	'	AND THE !
		DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	//	NAME		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CLASS	
	A	5,572,004	11/5/96	<del>                                      </del>	Sakol et		<del></del>		SUICLASS
		4,708,298	8/23/88	<del></del>				235	. 407
	c	5.475,208		1	Mayor	<del></del>	·	235	462
			12/12/95	l	Maron			235	467
<b> -</b> -;	6	5.484,990	1/15/98	<del>- </del> -	Lindachor	<del></del>		235	467
		5,179,271	1/12/03	<del>- </del> -	Lindacher	at at	<del></del> ,	235	46 <i>7</i>
	-		<del></del>	-	<del></del>		<del></del>		
-	-	······································	<del> </del>	┥···				,	
-	-	<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>					
.	-		<b>-</b>	<b> </b>		<b>-</b>		_	
·	K			<del> </del>	<del>-</del>				
	!			-					
ŀ	-	<del></del>	<del> </del>						— <del></del>
!	M		٠	<u> </u>	<del></del>				
			<del></del>	FOREIGN	PATENT DOCUMENTS	-			
_ <u></u>	$\dashv$	DOCUMENT NO.	PATE	CC	NATRY	NAME		CLASS	#HOCLASS
1	N	DOCUMENT NO.	PATE	Cc	PUNTRY	NAME	Williams .	CLASS	2HBCLA35
1	<u>.</u>	POCLIMENT NO.	DATE	Co	MATRY	NAME	M11884.a	CLASS	\$140CLASS
		DO CLIMENT NO.	DATE	Co	PARTITY	PMAN	H1MA.	CLASA	SPECIALS.
	P 0	DOCUMENT NO.	CATE	Co	MATRY	NAMR	W11884.5	CLASA	ŝvėciais
	P	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	Cr	DUNTRY	NAMR		CLASS	SUBCLASS
	O R .	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	G	MATTRY	NAME		CLASA	\$18CLAS
	P	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	Co	MATRY	NAME		CLAAS	\$190CLASS
	O R .	DOCUMENT NO.	STAG		ATENT DOCUMENTS	NAME		CIAAA	\$190CLASS
	O R .	DOCUMENT NO.		Non-P				CIAAS	PATE
	O R .	DOCUMENT NO.		Non-P	ATENT DOCUMENTS			CIAAA	
	P	DOCUMENT NO.		Non-P	ATENT DOCUMENTS			CIASS	
	P	DOCUMENT NO.		Non-P	ATENT DOCUMENTS			CIASS	
	P	DOCUMENT NO.		Non-P	ATENT DOCUMENTS			CIASS	
	P	DOCUMENT NO.		Non-P	ATENT DOCUMENTS			CIASS	
	P	DOCUMENT NO.		Non-P	ATENT DOCUMENTS			CIABA	
	P	DOCUMENT NO.		Non-P	ATENT DOCUMENTS			CIASS	
	P	DOCUMENT NO.		Non-P	ATENT DOCUMENTS			CIASS	